

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS... P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/625,660	(07/26/2000	Frederick L. Merritt	0250W/00003U	6309	
24350	7590	01/22/2004		EXAMINER		
STITES &		ON, PLLC	DURAN, ARTHUR D			
	400 W MARKET ST SUITE 1800				PAPER NUMBER	
LOUISVILLE, KY 40202-3352				3622		
				DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advis and Astion	09/625,660	MERRITT, FREDERICK L.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arthur Duran	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	aars on the cover sheet with the c					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRING Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO avoid abandonment of this appliance of the appliance of the appliance of the appeal fee); or (3) a time of the appeal fee); or (4) a time of the appeal fee of the appeal fee); or (4) a time of the appeal fee of the appeal	R ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		MM				
		JAMES W. MYHRE PRIMARY EXAMINER				

4

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/625,660





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 4 of the Applicant's Response date 1/9/04, Applicant states, "neither Dedrick nor Eggelston teach or describe the concept of comparing identifying information assciated with a particular user to target advertising profiles, with the user being re-directed to an advertisement of advertisers based on such a comparison". However, Dedrick clearly discloses this (col 18, lines 49-55).